

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			77001 in 191011, 15.0. 2020 1	Pe
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	/ENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/239,48		99 CHU	f	99P7445US
	•.		7	EXAMINER
oremento o	ones.	MMC2/0320	·, ,	F.D
SIEMENS C			ART UN	
. 186 WOOD : ISELIN NJ	AVENUE SOL J 08830	ТН •	28 Date Mail	B16 .ED:
				03/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/239,487

Applicant(s)

CHU et al.

Examiner

DINH LE

Group Art Unit 2816



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Xi Claim(s) 1-14	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	ing Pavious PTO 049
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	-
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objective.	
	<u>1999</u> is Kapproved Ldisapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
_ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	umber)
\square received in this national stage application from the	ne International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
⊠ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
OFF OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING BACES

Application/Control Number: 08/

Art Unit: 2816

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

The declaration filed is acceptable.

Drawings

The drawings are approved.

Specification

The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 08/

Art Unit: 2816

Claims 1-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Correction or clarification is required.

In claim 1, the limitation "the leading edges" on line 7, "the trailing edge" on line 8, and "the first train" and "the second train" on lines 15-16 lacks antecedent basis. It is unclear what the "edge type" on line 9 and "selected time delay" are, how the time delay can be selected since no selecting means for performing the selecting function is recited in the claim, and how the delay locked loop comprising the variable delay line for providing the composite output train can be "responsive to one of the first train of pulses and the second train of pulses in the composite output train on lines 18-22. The same is true for claims 6, 10 and 12.

In claim 2, it is unclear how the logic network can perform the recited function since it is not connected to anything and how this network is read on the preferred embodiment or seen on the drawings.

In claim 4, the limitation "such gate" on line 8 lacks antecedent basis. The same is true in claim 6 for reciting "such control" on line 15 and for reciting "such receiver" in claim 10. It is unclear how the output pules can pass through such gate to the phase comparator when it is not connected to the phase comparator. The same is true for claims 5, 8-9 and 11.

The remaining claims are dependent from the above rejected claims and therefore also considered indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 08/

Art Unit: 2816

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s)

under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

These claims would be allowable because no prior art references show a delay locked loop

comprising a logic network and a pulse generator.

Conclusion

The prior art reference cited on PTOL 892 shows a phase delay locked loop circuit. This

reference is deemed to be relevant to the present invention and should be reviewed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Dinh Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-3790. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 A.M.to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Tim Callahan, can be reached on (703) 308-4876. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)

308-7725.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DINH LE

Primary Examiner

Waldel

Art Unit: 2816

March 14, 2000

Page 4